



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,266	12/16/2003	Lee Tice	H0006113 8364/90590(1280)	5858
7590 05/08/2008 Patent Services Group Honeywell International, Inc. 101 Columbia Raod P. O. Box 2245 Morristown, NJ 07962			EXAMINER NGUYEN, VAN KIM T	
			ART UNIT 2152	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 05/08/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/737,266	Applicant(s) TICE ET AL.	
	Examiner VAN KIM T. NGUYEN	Art Unit 2152	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 April 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 3-13 and 15-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 3-13 and 15-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to communications filed on April 29, 2008. Claims 1-2 and 14 have been cancelled, claims 27-29 added; thus claims 3-13 and 15-29 are pending in the application.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 29, 2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. Claims 3-8, 15-19, 21, 24 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over van Bokhorst et al (US 6,192,230), hereinafter van Bokhorst, in view of White (US 6,002,669).

Regarding claim 27, as shown in Figures 8-9, van Bokhorst et al discloses an electrical unit (220) comprising:

a wireless communications port (i.e., station 220);

a transceiver coupled to the port (i.e., wireless transceiver 230; Figure 9, col. 7: lines 26-

28);

control circuitry coupled to the port, and the transceiver, the control circuitry having, at least, an inactive mode interrupted by an intermittent, limited duration active mode, including circuitry to monitor the port for receipt of a wireless synchronizing signal, and responsive thereto to enter the active mode and receive other incoming signals with the control circuitry responding to an incoming signal requesting information by transmitting requested information via the transceiver (e.g., switch 244, coupled to transceiver 230 of station 220, is either in an awake state or in a doze state, depending on the state of switch 244. Initially when station 220 is powered-up, it is put in the awake state until it receives a traffic indicator message (TIM), which is broadcasted at regular intervals under the control of the TIM timer 62. Switch 244 is switched on to initiate an awake state in response to the timing out of the timer and is switched off to initiate a doze state; col. 3: line 42 - col. 6: line 16).

Van Bokhorst discloses substantially all the claimed limitations, except the control circuitry simultaneously monitors signals received from the transceiver and determines, in response thereto, that a higher priority message is being received.

White teaches the control circuitry simultaneously monitors signals received from the transceiver and determines, in response thereto, that a higher priority message is being received (col. 6: lines 10-33; Figures 4-5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employing White's method of regulating system's access based on priority in van Bokhorst's system in order to reduce mutually destructive collision.

Regarding claim 3, van Bokhorst-White also discloses additional circuits (234, 236, 246, 247, 248, 250, 253 and 254) to evaluate the received synchronizing signal for the presence of a signal expected indicium, and, responsive thereto, to determine if an additional message is expected (e.g., if the station receives one or more PTIM messages, this means one or more messages are waiting for it, the station then stays in the awake state until it receives the indicated messages from the issuers of all the received PTIM messages; van Bokhorst, col. 9: lines 11-19).

Regarding claim 4, van Bokhorst-White et al also discloses circuitry (234, 236, 246, 247, 248, 250, 253 and 254) to extend the active mode and to acquire and respond to any expected additional message (e.g., when the receipt of data messages extends over several PSYNC interval, the doze time is restarted after each PSYNC message, but does not return the station to the doze state; van Bokhorst, col. 9: lines 19-23).

Regarding claim 5, van Bokhorst-White also discloses the control circuitry comprises, at least in part, a processor (234) and executable instructions (e.g., mobile station functions as a hand held data processing device, thus it is obvious it comprises executable instructions; van Bokhorst, col. 3: lines 45-50).

Regarding claim 6, van Bokhorst-White also discloses timer circuitry (246), coupled to the processor, for initiating the periodic, limited duration active mode (van Bokhorst, col. 8: lines 36-45).

Regarding claims 7-8, van Bokhorst-White also discloses includes executable instructions for transmitting data with a different protocol than a protocol of the received synchronizing signal (e.g., PSYNC messages are broadcast messages, while short messages can be directly transmitted to the station; van Bokhorst, col. 7: line 62-64 and col. 8: lines 53-55).

Regarding claims 15 and 26, van Bokhorst discloses a communication system (210) comprising at least two devices that can wirelessly transmit and receive signals:

a first device that transmits a wireless synchronization signal (e.g., station 220-1 assumes the role of the master station and commences transmitting PSYNC messages at regular interval; van Bokhorst, col. 7: lines 59-62);

at least a second device (220-2, 220-3, 220-4) receiving the wireless synchronization signal, the second device synchronizes functions to the synchronization signal such that the energy consumption of the second device is reduced for a period of time between synchronization signals ((i.e., the reception of a PSYNC message at stations 220 other than the master station, i.e., the second device, triggers the doze timer 246 to initiate a doze interval of low power operation; van Bokhorst, col. 8: lines 16-19); and

at least a third device receiving the wireless synchronization signal, the third device synchronizes functions to the synchronization signal such that the energy consumption of the third device is reduced for a period of time between synchronization signals, where the second device is capable of receiving a wireless signal from the third device and the third device is capable of receiving a wireless signal from the second device, and where the second device and the third device enter an active mode upon receipt of the synchronization signal and remain in

Art Unit: 2152

the active mode at least for a period of time during which a wireless signal is received from at least the third device or at least the second device (i.e., PSYNC messages are transmitted to all stations 220, i.e., the third device, and the reception of a PSYNC message at the third device triggers the doze timer 246 to initiate a doze interval of low power operation (van Bokhorst, col. 8: lines 16-19). All other stations 220, i.e., the second and the third device, can communicate directly with one another (van Bokhorst, col. 7: lines 20-22). If station 220 receives one or more PTIM messages, it will stay in the awake state after the PSYNC message is received until it received the indicated messages from the issuers of all received PTIM messages (van Bokhorst, col. 9: lines 11-23).

However, van Bokhorst does not call for each of the second and third devices carries out a bit arbitration process while wirelessly transmitting signals.

White teaches bit arbitration process while wirelessly transmitting signals (e.g., determining priority and/or sync bit; col. 6: lines 10-48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employing White's method of regulating system's access based on priority in van Bokhorst's system in order to reduce mutually destructive collision.

Regarding claim 16, van Bokhorst-White also discloses the second device or the third device includes a battery 240 (van Bokhorst, col. 7: lines 34-37).

Regarding claim 17, van Bokhorst-White also discloses the synchronization signal is transmitted periodically with a predetermined timing (van Bokhorst, col. 8: lines 5-15).

Regarding claim 18, van Bokhorst-White also discloses the synchronization signal includes at least one of RF frequencies, optical frequencies or sonic frequencies (e.g., since wireless transceiver 230 is coupled to antenna 222, thus it is inherent the synchronization signal received at mobile station 20 includes at least one of RF frequencies; van Bokhorst, Figures 8-9).

Regarding claims 19, van Bokhorst-White also discloses the synchronizing function includes transmitting a signal representative of a detector state (e.g., TIM; van Bokhorst, col. 4: line 16 - col. 7: line 14).

Regarding claim 21, van Bokhorst-White also discloses the first device receives the transmitted signal (van Bokhorst, Figures 8-9).

Regarding claim 24, van Bokhorst-White also discloses a plurality of devices (220-1 to 220-4) receiving the wireless synchronization signal (van Bokhorst, Figure 8).

Regarding claim 28, Bokhorst-White also discloses the control circuitry, responsive to a higher priority message being received, terminated transmission until the higher priority message is no longer being received (White; col. 6: lines 10-33).

Regarding claim 29, Bokhorst-White also discloses the control circuitry restarts transmission of the requested information after the higher priority message is no longer being received (White; col. 6: lines 10-33).

5. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over van Bokhorst-White, as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of O'Scolai (US 7,050,409), hereinafter O'Scolai.

van Bokhorst-White fails to disclose executable instructions that sense and decode multiple data signals received from multiple sources substantially simultaneously.

O'Scolai teaches executable instructions that sense and decode multiple data signals received from multiple sources substantially simultaneously (col. 5: line 34 – col. 6: line 64).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply O'Scolai's teaching to van Bokhorst-White's system, motivated by the desire of enhancing the quality of transmission and better utilization of network resources.

6. Claims 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over van Bokhorst et al (US 6,192,230), hereinafter van Bokhorst, in view of Lucas et al (US 7,212,512).

van Bokhorst discloses a method comprising:

transmitting a wireless synchronizing signal on a periodic basis (van Bokhorst, col. 7: line 57 – col. 8: line 15);

entering an active mode to receive and evaluate the synchronizing signal, and responsive thereto, entering one of a data receiving or a data transmitting mode with the data having a different protocol than the synchronizing signal (e.g., PSYNC messages are broadcast messages, while short messages can be directly transmitted to the station; van Bokhorst, col. 7: lines 62-64 and col. 8: lines 53-55); and

remaining in the active mode for a period of time at least until no further data is received

Art Unit: 2152

(i.e., if there is no message waiting, the station returns to the doze state; if the station receives one or more PTIM messages, it stays in the awake state after the PSYNC messages is received until it received the indicated messages from the issuers of all the received PTIM messages; van Bokhorst, col. 9: lines 8-23).

However, van Bokhorst does not call for transmitting data signals at different offsets relative to the synchronizing signal in response to a substantially random number.

Lucas teaches transmitting data signals at different offsets relative to the synchronizing signal in response to a substantially random number (col. 4: lines 15-36).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Lucas' method of correcting frequency in van Bokhorst's system, motivated by the need of improving success in packet detection and acquisition.

7. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over van Bokhorst-Lucas, as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of O'Scolai (US 7,050,409), hereinafter O'Scolai.

Regarding claim 12, van Bokhorst-Lucas fails to disclose executable instructions that sense and decode multiple data signals received from multiple sources substantially simultaneously.

O'Scolai teaches executable instructions that sense and decode multiple data signals received from multiple sources substantially simultaneously (col. 5: line 34 – col. 6: line 64).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply O'Scolai's teaching to van Bokhorst-Lucas's system, motivated by the desire of enhancing the quality of transmission and better utilization of network resources.

Regarding claim 13, van Bokhorst-Lucas-O'Scolai also discloses includes minimizing energy requirements at a plurality of synchronizing signal receiving locations between such signals (van Bokhorst, col. 8: line 5 – col. 9: line 64).

8. Claims 20 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over van Bokhorst-White, in view of O'Scolai.

Regarding claim 20, van Bokhorst discloses substantially all the limitations, except the detector state comprises at least one of an alarm, trouble, voltage, input, or sensor condition.

O'Scolai teaches a system and method for transmitting frequency variation, synchronization at the receiver, and provides a virtual signaling channel which may be used for system alarm and status (see abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply O'Scolai's teaching to van Bokhorst's system, motivated by the desire of enhancing the quality of transmission and better utilization of network resources.

Regarding claim 22, van Bokhorst-O'Scolai also discloses the transmitting of a signal includes at least in part a frequency that is the same as the synchronization frequency (van Bokhorst, col. 3: line 26 – col. 4: line 46).

Regarding claim 23, van Bokhorst-O'Scolai also discloses the synchronization signal includes variable frequencies (van Bokhorst, col. 3: line 26 – col. 4: line 46).

9. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over van Bokhorst-White, as applied to claim 24 above, in view of Lucas.

Van Bokhorst-White discloses substantially all the claimed limitations, except members of the plurality of devices each includes circuitry to transmit data signals at different offsets from the synchronizing signal in response to at least one of, a substantially random number, or, a unique device identifier.

Lucas teaches members of the plurality of devices each includes circuitry to transmit data signals at different offsets from the synchronizing signal in response to at least one of, a substantially random number, or, a unique device identifier (col. 4: lines 15-36).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Lucas' method of correcting frequency in van Bokhorst-White's system, motivated by the need of improving success in packets detection and acquisition.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VAN KIM T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-3073. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on 571-272-3913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Van Kim T. Nguyen
Examiner
Art Unit 2152

vkn

/Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2152